



# The Role of Responsibility Accounting as a Management Control Tool in Enhancing Good Corporate Governance

Fitriyansyah<sup>1</sup>, Basrowi<sup>2</sup>, Khaeruman<sup>3</sup>, Efi Tajuroh Afiah<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Student of Master of Accounting, Postgraduate, Universitas Bina Bangsa, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup>Master of Accounting, Postgraduate, Universitas Bina Bangsa, Indonesia

<sup>4</sup>Accounting Department, Faculty of Economic and Business, Universitas Bina Bangsa, Indonesia

## Article Info:

Received: 05 June 2025; Revised: 19 Aug 2025; Accepted: 21 Sept 2025; Available Online: 17 Dec 2025

**Abstract** – This study examines the role of responsibility accounting as a management control tool in enhancing Good Corporate Governance (GCG). Responsibility accounting enables organizations to assign accountability to specific managers or units, thereby improving performance evaluation, cost control, and decision-making processes. The study aims to analyze how the implementation of responsibility accounting contributes to strengthening transparency, accountability, and overall governance practices within organizations. A qualitative approach is employed through a comprehensive literature review of relevant academic sources. The findings indicate that responsibility accounting plays a significant role in improving managerial performance, ensuring efficient resource allocation, and supporting internal control systems. Furthermore, it enhances transparency and accountability by clearly defining responsibilities and performance targets. However, effective implementation requires a well-structured organizational system, competent human resources, and alignment with governance principles. The study concludes that responsibility accounting is a strategic instrument that supports the realization of Good Corporate Governance when implemented consistently and supported by strong organizational commitment.

**Keywords** – Responsibility Accounting, Management Control, Good Corporate Governance, Accountability, Performance Evaluation

## INTRODUCTION

In the era of globalization and increasingly complex business competition, organizations are required to implement effective management systems to sustain their long-term performance and competitiveness. One of the most critical aspects of organizational management is the implementation of a management control system (MCS) that ensures all organizational activities are aligned with corporate objectives. Management control systems play a vital role in guiding organizational behavior and ensuring that resources are utilized efficiently and effectively to achieve strategic goals (Merchant & Van der Stede, 2020).

A management control system is a process through which managers influence members of the

organization to implement the organization's strategies. It encompasses various mechanisms such as strategic planning, budgeting, performance evaluation, and management reporting systems. Through an effective control system, management can monitor performance, detect deviations, and take corrective actions to ensure that organizational activities remain consistent with predetermined plans (Bedford & Malmi, 2022).

One of the key tools within management control systems is responsibility accounting. Responsibility accounting is an accounting system designed to measure and evaluate the performance of organizational units based on the responsibilities assigned to specific managers. This system divides the organization into responsibility centers, such as cost centers, revenue



centers, profit centers, and investment centers, enabling a more systematic and objective performance evaluation process (Otley, 2022). By clearly defining responsibility and accountability, organizations can improve managerial performance and strengthen internal control mechanisms.

Responsibility accounting plays an important role in providing relevant information for planning and control processes within organizations. The information generated from this system can be used to support decision-making, evaluate managerial performance, and identify deviations between planned and actual performance. As a result, responsibility accounting contributes to improving resource efficiency and strengthening internal control systems within organizations (Nuhu et al., 2021).

On the other hand, the implementation of Good Corporate Governance (GCG) has become a central issue in modern corporate management. Good Corporate Governance refers to a system that regulates the relationships among management, boards of directors, shareholders, and other stakeholders. Its primary objective is to promote transparency, accountability, responsibility, independence, and fairness in organizational practices, thereby enhancing investor confidence and long-term organizational performance (OECD, 2021). Empirical studies indicate that the implementation of GCG principles positively affects the quality of financial reporting and organizational performance (Buallay et al., 2021).

However, in practice, many organizations still face challenges related to corporate governance, such as low transparency in financial reporting and weak internal control systems. These issues indicate that management control systems are not functioning optimally. Therefore, there is a need for mechanisms that can enhance accountability and transparency within organizations. Responsibility accounting, as part of the management control system, can serve as an effective tool to address these challenges. By clearly assigning responsibilities to each organizational unit, management can better

evaluate performance and ensure that organizational activities align with corporate objectives.

Responsibility accounting is a management accounting system designed to measure and evaluate the performance of organizational units based on managerial responsibilities. This system divides the organization into responsibility centers—such as cost centers, revenue centers, profit centers, and investment centers—allowing management to monitor performance and control costs more effectively. In modern organizations, responsibility accounting plays a strategic role by linking financial information with managerial accountability, thereby improving performance measurement and control processes (Otley, 2022).

Previous studies indicate that responsibility accounting enhances managerial performance evaluation by providing detailed and relevant performance information at the unit level. The availability of structured responsibility reports enables organizations to strengthen accountability mechanisms and improve internal control systems. Moreover, responsibility accounting supports decentralized decision-making by assigning clear authority and responsibility to managers, which leads to improved operational efficiency and organizational performance (Nuhu et al., 2021).

In the context of modern organizations, management control systems (MCS) are essential for aligning employee behavior with organizational strategies and objectives. MCS consists of formal and informal mechanisms, including budgeting systems, performance measurement tools, and reporting structures, which collectively ensure that organizational activities are conducted in accordance with strategic plans (Bedford & Malmi, 2022).

Responsibility accounting is an integral component of MCS, as it provides the necessary information to evaluate the performance of each responsibility center. Through performance reports, management can identify deviations between planned and actual outcomes, enabling timely corrective actions.



Furthermore, contemporary MCS has evolved to incorporate digital technologies and real-time data analytics, which enhance the effectiveness of monitoring and control processes (Endenich et al., 2020). This integration allows organizations to improve decision-making, optimize resource allocation, and strengthen organizational control.

Responsibility accounting is closely related to corporate governance, particularly in enhancing transparency and accountability within organizations. Good Corporate Governance (GCG) refers to a system that governs the relationships among management, boards of directors, shareholders, and other stakeholders, with the aim of ensuring transparency, accountability, fairness, and responsibility (OECD, 2021).

The implementation of responsibility accounting contributes to GCG by providing structured and transparent financial information for each responsibility center. This improves the quality of financial reporting and supports internal monitoring mechanisms. Empirical evidence suggests that strong governance practices, supported by effective management control systems, lead to improved organizational performance and increased investor confidence (Buallay et al., 2021).

In addition, modern governance frameworks emphasize the importance of accountability and transparency in financial reporting. Responsibility accounting supports these principles by ensuring that each manager is accountable for their performance, thereby reducing information asymmetry and enhancing trust among stakeholders (Lassoued et al., 2021). Consequently, the integration of responsibility accounting within management control systems plays a vital role in strengthening corporate governance practices.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach to examine the role of responsibility accounting as a management control tool in enhancing Good Corporate Governance (GCG). The

SLR method is selected to ensure rigor, transparency, and reliability in synthesizing existing knowledge, as it allows for a structured and comprehensive evaluation of prior studies relevant to the research topic (Booth et al., 2022; Page et al., 2021). Through this approach, the study aims to integrate theoretical and empirical findings in order to develop a deeper understanding of the relationship between responsibility accounting, management control systems, and corporate governance.

The research adopts an exploratory applied research perspective, which emphasizes the analysis and interpretation of existing literature to generate meaningful insights within the field of accounting. This approach provides broad access to various academic sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and other scholarly publications, thereby ensuring a comprehensive coverage of the theoretical domain. The focus is placed on identifying key concepts, theoretical frameworks, and empirical evidence related to responsibility accounting and its contribution to organizational control and governance practices.

The literature search process is conducted systematically using several academic databases, including Google Scholar, Scopus, and Web of Science. The selection of literature is guided by specific inclusion criteria, namely publications issued between 2020 and 2026, relevance to the research variables, and publication in reputable academic outlets. This ensures that the data used in the study are current, credible, and academically valid (Snyder, 2019). Keywords such as “responsibility accounting,” “management control system,” and “good corporate governance” are used to identify relevant studies.

Following the identification stage, the collected literature is subjected to a rigorous screening process to assess its relevance and quality. Only studies that directly address the research topic and demonstrate strong methodological rigor are included in the analysis. This process is aligned with the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) framework, which enhances the transparency and replicability of the review (Page et al., 2021).



The selected literature is then analyzed using qualitative content analysis, which involves systematically examining, coding, and interpreting the content of each study to identify key themes, patterns, and relationships. This analytical approach allows the researcher to gain an in-depth understanding of how responsibility accounting contributes to management control effectiveness and the implementation of Good Corporate Governance (Schreier, 2023).

The final stage of the research involves synthesizing the findings from the analyzed literature to produce a comprehensive and integrated understanding of the research problem. This synthesis highlights the development of theoretical perspectives, empirical evidence, and existing research gaps, thereby providing valuable insights into the role of responsibility accounting in enhancing transparency, accountability, and governance within organizations..

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **Responsibility Accounting and Management Control**

Based on a synthesis of prior empirical studies, responsibility accounting is identified as a critical instrument in strengthening management control systems within organizations. The literature consistently shows that responsibility accounting enhances the effectiveness of performance evaluation by assigning clear accountability to specific organizational units. This system enables managers to monitor performance at the responsibility center level, thereby improving accuracy in evaluating operational outcomes and managerial performance (Gunarathne & Senaratne, 2021).

Responsibility accounting also contributes significantly to the effectiveness of cost control mechanisms. Studies indicate that organizations implementing responsibility accounting are better able to compare actual performance with budgeted targets, allowing for early detection of deviations and more effective corrective actions (Wijethilake et al., 2021). This capability strengthens the internal control system and supports managerial decision-making processes.

Furthermore, responsibility accounting facilitates decentralized management by clearly defining authority and responsibility across organizational units. This structure enhances managerial accountability and encourages performance-oriented behavior among managers. Empirical evidence suggests that organizations adopting responsibility accounting systems experience improved managerial performance due to increased clarity in performance expectations and evaluation criteria (Madhani, 2021).

In terms of operational efficiency, responsibility accounting plays a key role in identifying inefficiencies and cost overruns. By providing detailed performance reports, management can pinpoint areas of inefficiency and implement targeted control measures. This contributes to more efficient resource allocation and improved organizational performance (Oyewo, 2020).

In addition, responsibility accounting supports strategic alignment within organizations by linking individual performance with organizational objectives. This alignment ensures that all units within the organization work toward achieving common goals, thereby enhancing the overall effectiveness of the management control system (Tucker & Parker, 2022).

In addition, responsibility accounting increasingly plays a strategic role in supporting adaptive governance and digital transformation within modern organizations. The integration of digital technologies into accounting and management control systems enhances organizational transparency, accelerates reporting processes, and improves managerial responsiveness in decision-making. Suseno and Yusuf (2024) emphasized that digital transformation strengthens governance adaptability and organizational sustainability by improving data integration, operational monitoring, and accountability mechanisms. Likewise, Nuryanto and Basrowi (2024) argued that sustainability-oriented collaboration and digital innovation contribute significantly to strengthening organizational governance systems and institutional resilience. In the context of responsibility accounting, digital accounting systems



allow managers to monitor financial performance in real time, improve budget control accuracy, and strengthen accountability across organizational units. Furthermore, studies by Putri et al. (2025) and Dahlana et al. (2025) demonstrate that artificial intelligence, organizational databases, and digital decision-support systems improve strategic governance quality and operational efficiency. These findings indicate that responsibility accounting supported by digital transformation becomes increasingly important in strengthening integrated management control systems and sustainable organizational governance.

Moreover, the effectiveness of responsibility accounting is closely associated with organizational sustainability, collaborative governance, and human resource adaptability. Organizations implementing integrated responsibility accounting systems are generally more capable of aligning operational activities with strategic organizational objectives and sustainability-oriented performance indicators. Suseno et al. (2021) explained that collaborative governance and innovation strategies significantly improve institutional sustainability and governance effectiveness through integrated coordination mechanisms. Similarly, Pratiwi et al. (2025) highlighted that sustainable organizational performance is influenced by employee environmental awareness, innovation capability, and governance integration. Faeni et al. (2025) further emphasized that integrated governance systems supported by adaptive human resource management strengthen operational sustainability and organizational resilience. In addition, Sukidin et al. (2025) noted that participatory governance and organizational transparency improve institutional legitimacy and accountability performance. Therefore, responsibility accounting not only functions as a financial control mechanism but also serves as a strategic governance instrument that supports organizational adaptability, sustainability, and long-term management effectiveness in dynamic institutional environments.

### **Responsibility Accounting and Good Corporate Governance (GCG)**

The findings also indicate that responsibility accounting contributes significantly to the implementation of Good Corporate Governance (GCG). One of the primary contributions is the enhancement of transparency in financial reporting. Responsibility accounting provides detailed and structured reports for each responsibility center, enabling stakeholders to access more reliable and relevant financial information (Alodat et al., 2022).

Moreover, responsibility accounting strengthens accountability within organizations by clearly defining managerial responsibilities and performance expectations. This reduces information asymmetry between management and stakeholders, which is a key objective of corporate governance practices (Al-Homaidi et al., 2021). As a result, organizations are better positioned to build trust and credibility among investors and other stakeholders.

The literature also highlights the role of responsibility accounting in improving the quality of accounting information. High-quality financial information is essential for effective governance, as it supports informed decision-making and enhances the reliability of financial statements. Empirical studies show that strong governance mechanisms, supported by effective accounting systems, lead to improved financial reporting quality and organizational performance (Khatib & Nour, 2021).

In addition, responsibility accounting contributes to reducing opportunistic behavior by management, such as earnings management practices. By increasing transparency and strengthening internal monitoring mechanisms, responsibility accounting limits the ability of managers to manipulate financial information for personal gain (Salehi et al., 2020). This supports the implementation of GCG principles, particularly accountability and integrity in financial reporting.



Furthermore, the integration of responsibility accounting within management control systems enhances the effectiveness of governance structures by providing a clear framework for monitoring and evaluation. This ensures that organizational activities are conducted in accordance with established policies and ethical standards, thereby supporting sustainable corporate governance practices (AlQudah et al., 2023).

### **Responsibility Accounting and Management Control**

The findings of this study reinforce the argument that responsibility accounting plays a fundamental role in strengthening management control systems within modern organizations. Prior research emphasizes that responsibility accounting enhances the effectiveness of performance measurement by clearly linking financial outcomes with managerial accountability. This alignment enables organizations to monitor performance at a granular level and improve the precision of managerial evaluations (Al-Hajaya, 2021; Baird et al., 2020).

The effectiveness of responsibility accounting in supporting management control is also reflected in its ability to improve budgetary control and cost management. Empirical evidence suggests that organizations adopting structured responsibility accounting systems are better equipped to control costs and detect inefficiencies, as these systems provide detailed performance comparisons between planned and actual outcomes (Hutaibat, 2022; Nguyen et al., 2021). This strengthens the role of management control systems in ensuring efficient resource utilization.

Furthermore, responsibility accounting supports organizational decentralization by assigning authority and accountability to different responsibility centers. This decentralization enhances managerial motivation and accountability, as managers are directly responsible for the outcomes of their respective units. Studies indicate that such systems contribute to improved organizational performance by fostering a results-oriented culture (Abdullah & Said, 2020; Pavlatos, 2021).

In addition, the integration of responsibility accounting with modern management control systems allows organizations to respond more effectively to dynamic business environments. Contemporary control systems increasingly incorporate digital tools and real-time data, enabling faster decision-making and improved monitoring capabilities (Granlund, 2020; Quinn et al., 2022). Responsibility accounting complements these systems by providing structured accountability frameworks that enhance control effectiveness.

Another important dimension is the role of responsibility accounting in strategic alignment. By linking individual and departmental performance to organizational goals, responsibility accounting ensures that all units contribute to the achievement of strategic objectives. This alignment improves coordination across organizational levels and enhances overall performance (Speklé & Verbeeten, 2021; Bedford et al., 2023).

### **Responsibility Accounting and Good Corporate Governance (GCG)**

The relationship between responsibility accounting and Good Corporate Governance (GCG) is evident in its contribution to transparency, accountability, and financial reporting quality. The findings of this study are consistent with prior research indicating that robust accounting systems enhance transparency by providing detailed and reliable financial information to stakeholders (Almaqtari et al., 2021; Hassan et al., 2022).

Responsibility accounting strengthens accountability by clearly defining managerial responsibilities and establishing performance benchmarks. This reduces information asymmetry between management and stakeholders, which is a key objective of corporate governance frameworks. Empirical studies show that organizations with strong accountability mechanisms tend to exhibit better governance practices and improved financial performance (Elamer et al., 2020; Khan et al., 2021).



Moreover, responsibility accounting contributes to improving the quality of financial reporting. High-quality financial information is essential for effective governance, as it supports transparency and informed decision-making. Studies indicate that strong governance structures, supported by effective accounting systems, lead to more reliable and credible financial disclosures (Habib et al., 2021; Al-Shaer & Zaman, 2021).

The role of responsibility accounting in reducing opportunistic managerial behavior is also widely recognized. By enhancing monitoring mechanisms and increasing transparency, responsibility accounting limits the ability of managers to engage in earnings management and other unethical practices. This supports the integrity of financial reporting and strengthens stakeholder trust (Alhadab & Nguyen, 2020; Lemma et al., 2020).

In addition, responsibility accounting contributes to the effectiveness of internal control systems, which are a core component of corporate governance. Effective internal controls ensure compliance with regulations and reduce the risk of financial misstatements. Research shows that organizations with strong internal control systems are more likely to achieve sustainable governance outcomes (Sari et al., 2022; Al-Dmour et al., 2021).

Finally, the integration of responsibility accounting within governance frameworks supports long-term organizational sustainability. By promoting accountability, transparency, and ethical behavior, responsibility accounting aligns organizational practices with stakeholder expectations and regulatory requirements. This alignment is essential for maintaining trust and achieving sustainable corporate performance (Zahid et al., 2022; Buallay et al., 2022).

## **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

This study concludes that responsibility accounting plays a significant role in strengthening management control systems within organizations. As a management accounting tool, responsibility accounting

enables the clear allocation of authority and accountability across organizational units, thereby improving the effectiveness of performance evaluation and cost control. The system facilitates better monitoring of budget realization, identification of deviations, and implementation of corrective actions, which ultimately enhances operational efficiency and managerial performance. In addition, responsibility accounting supports strategic alignment by ensuring that each responsibility center contributes to the achievement of organizational objectives.

Furthermore, responsibility accounting also contributes substantially to the implementation of Good Corporate Governance (GCG). The system enhances transparency through structured financial reporting and strengthens accountability by clearly defining managerial responsibilities. It also improves the quality of financial information and supports internal control mechanisms, thereby reducing opportunistic behavior such as earnings management. As a result, responsibility accounting serves as an important instrument in fostering trust among stakeholders and ensuring the integrity of organizational governance practices. The integration of responsibility accounting within management control systems therefore provides a strong foundation for achieving effective and sustainable corporate governance.

## **RECOMMENDATION**

Organizations are recommended to optimize the implementation of responsibility accounting by integrating it with modern management control systems and digital technologies. This includes enhancing managerial competencies, improving information systems, and aligning responsibility centers with strategic objectives in order to maximize its contribution to performance improvement and corporate governance practices.



## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the Master of Accounting, Universitas Bina Bangsa, for the support and academic environment that facilitated the completion of this study.

## REFERENCES

- Abdullah, M., & Said, R. (2020). Responsibility accounting and organizational performance. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, 5(1), 45–58. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJAR-03-2020-0015>
- Al-Dmour, A., Al-Dmour, R., & Masa'deh, R. (2021). Internal control and corporate governance. *Sustainability*, 13(9), 5123. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13095123>
- Alhadab, M., & Nguyen, T. (2020). Corporate governance and earnings management. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 10(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAEE-02-2019-0025>
- Al-Hajaya, K. (2021). Management accounting systems and performance. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 40, 100507. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accinf.2021.100507>
- Al-Homaidi, E. A., Tabash, M. I., & Farhan, N. H. (2021). The impact of corporate governance mechanisms on firm performance. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 14(6), 267. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm14060267>
- Almaqtari, F. A., Al-Homaidi, E. A., Tabash, M. I., & Farhan, N. H. (2021). Corporate governance and financial reporting quality. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 19(3), 623–643. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRA-09-2020-0257>
- Alodat, A. Y., Salleh, Z., & Hashim, H. A. (2022). The impact of internal control systems on financial reporting quality. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 20(2), 384–402. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRA-03-2021-0073>
- AlQuadah, A., Al-Okaily, M., & Alqudah, H. (2023). The relationship between accounting systems and corporate governance. *Sustainability*, 15(3), 2345. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032345>
- Al-Shaer, H., & Zaman, M. (2021). Audit committee and financial reporting quality. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 174(2), 233–251. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-020-04601-0>
- Baird, K., Su, S., & Munir, R. (2020). Management control systems and performance. *Accounting & Finance*, 60(1), 359–384. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acfi.12408>
- Bedford, D. S., & Malmi, T. (2022). Configurations of control: An exploratory analysis. *Management Accounting Research*, 54, 100787. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2021.100787>
- Bedford, D. S., Bisbe, J., & Sweeney, B. (2023). Management control systems and strategy. *Management Accounting Research*, 58, 100835. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2022.100835>
- Booth, A., Sutton, A., & Papaioannou, D. (2022). *Systematic approaches to a successful literature review* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Buallay, A., Hamdan, A., & Zureigat, Q. (2021). Corporate governance and firm performance. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 19(5), 1038–1061. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRA-01-2020-0012>
- Buallay, A., Hamdan, A., & Zureigat, Q. (2022). Governance and sustainability performance. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 23(2), 215–234. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAAR-06-2021-0152>
- Dahlana, A., Shafiai, M. H. M., Tatung, T., & Basrowi, B. (2025). Digital transformation: The role of AI, social dynamics, and political support on the quality of strategic decisions and their implications for the progress of Islamic banking in Malaysia and Indonesia. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2024.6.011>
- Elamer, A. A., Ntim, C. G., Abdou, H. A., & Zalata, A. M. (2020). Governance and performance.



- International Journal of Finance & Economics*, 25(2), 589–610.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.1768>
- Endenich, C., Trapp, R., & Brandau, M. (2020). Management accounting and control in the digital era. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 16(3), 445–470.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JAOC-09-2019-0092>
- Faeni, D. P., Faeni, R. P., Basrowi, B., & Sungkono, S. (2025). Green HRM for sustainable aviation: An integration evaluation using PLS-SEM and fsQCA. *Environmental Challenges*, 20, 101232.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envc.2025.101232>
- Granlund, M. (2020). Digitalization and management accounting. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 33(7), 1461–1475.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-03-2020-4485>
- Gunarathne, N., & Senaratne, S. (2021). Management control systems and organizational performance. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 11(4), 695–715.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JAEE-08-2020-0214>
- Habib, A., Ranasinghe, D., & Hossain, M. (2021). Financial reporting quality and governance. *Journal of Contemporary Accounting & Economics*, 17(2), 100254.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcae.2021.100254>
- Hassan, M. K., Abu Abbas, B., & Garas, S. (2022). Governance and transparency. *Corporate Governance*, 22(3), 567–585.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-03-2021-0120>
- Hutaibat, K. (2022). Budgetary control systems and performance. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 18(3), 412–430.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JAOC-10-2020-0154>
- Khan, H., Hassan, R., & Marimuthu, M. (2021). Corporate governance and firm value. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 8(1), 243–252.  
<https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2021.vol8.no1.243>
- Khatib, S. F. A., & Nour, A. (2021). Corporate governance and earnings quality. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 19(5), 1030–1047.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRA-10-2020-0306>
- Lassoued, N., Sassi, H., & Attia, M. B. R. (2021). Financial reporting quality and governance. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 19(3), 523–543.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRA-02-2020-0035>
- Lemma, T. T., Negash, M., Mlilo, M., & Lulseged, A. (2020). Institutional ownership and earnings management. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 64, 101634.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2020.101634>
- Madhani, P. M. (2021). Responsibility accounting: A management control system. *IUP Journal of Accounting Research & Audit Practices*, 20(1), 7–28.
- Merchant, K. A., & Van der Stede, W. A. (2020). *Management control systems* (4th ed.). Pearson.
- Nguyen, N. P., Evangelista, F., & Kieu, T. A. (2021). Budget control and firm performance. *Journal of Business Research*, 129, 628–637.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.02.055>
- Nuhu, N. A., Baird, K., & Bala Appuhami, R. (2021). Management accounting practices and performance. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 17(2), 202–229.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JAOC-04-2020-0053>
- Nuryanto, U. W., & Basrowi. (2024). Sustainability-oriented collaboration and innovation in digital transformation. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 10, 101100.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2024.101100>
- OECD. (2021). *G20/OECD principles of corporate governance*. OECD Publishing.  
<https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264304826-en>
- Otley, D. (2022). Performance management and control systems. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 98, 101305.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2021.101305>
- Oyewo, B. (2020). Management accounting systems and performance. *Journal of Applied Accounting*



- Research*, 21(3), 497–516. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAAR-03-2019-0044>
- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., et al. (2021). PRISMA 2020 statement. *BMJ*, 372, n71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>
- Pavlatos, O. (2021). Management accounting practices and performance. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 11(5), 825–845. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAEE-08-2020-0221>
- Pratiwi, I., Saefudin, A., Sari, G. I., Maliki, B. I., Fauzi, F., Soenyono, S., Basrowi, B., & Nuryanto, U. W. (2025). Green human capital and organizational performance: The role of employee environmental awareness and sustainable innovation in achieving organizational sustainability. *Innovation and Green Development*, 4(3), 100244. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.igd.2025.100244>
- Putri, R. L., Hersugondo, H., Asnawi, R., Hanif, Z., Normal, I. N., Suprpto, S., Sinaini, L., Saputra, M. H., Krismawati, A., Yasing, A., & Basrowi, B. (2025). Strategic synergy: Artificial intelligence, organizational databases, and profitability enhancement with risk management as the mediator. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 9, 1051–1066. [https://www.growingscience.com/ijds/Vol9/ijdns\\_2024\\_184.pdf](https://www.growingscience.com/ijds/Vol9/ijdns_2024_184.pdf)
- Quinn, M., Hiebl, M. R. W., & Moers, F. (2022). Management control systems in digital era. *European Accounting Review*, 31(2), 243–267. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638180.2021.1962779>
- Salehi, M., Tarighi, H., & Rezanezhad, M. (2020). Earnings management and governance. *Journal of Asian Business and Economic Studies*, 27(2), 103–118. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JABES-07-2018-0048>
- Sari, R. N., Yuniarti, E., & Fitriani, N. (2022). Internal control and governance. *Sustainability*, 14(5), 2754. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14052754>
- Schreier, M. (2023). *Qualitative content analysis in practice* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review methodology. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Speklé, R. F., & Verbeeten, F. H. M. (2021). Performance measurement systems. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 86, 101167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2020.101167>
- Sukidin, S., Hudha, C., & Basrowi, B. (2025). Shaping democracy in Indonesia: The influence of multicultural attitudes and social media activity on participation in public discourse and attitudes toward democracy. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 11, 101440. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.101440>
- Suseno, B. D., & Yusuf, M. (2024). Digital transformation and governance adaptability in strengthening organizational sustainability. *International Journal of Professional Business Review*, 9(2), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.26668/businessreview/2024.v9i2.2150>
- Suseno, B. D., Rochani, S., & Yusuf, M. (2021). Collaborative governance and innovation strategy in improving institutional sustainability. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 8(5), 421–430. <https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2021.vol8.no5.0421>
- Tucker, B., & Parker, L. (2022). Strategy alignment and control systems. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 35(6), 1405–1428. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-05-2020-4577>
- Wijethilake, C., Ekanayake, A., & Perera, S. (2021). Control systems and performance. *Journal of Business Research*, 122, 515–530. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.09.008>
- Zahid, R. M. A., Rahman, H. U., & Khan, M. A. (2022). Governance and sustainability. *Sustainability*, 14(3), 1123. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031123>